

Comments were submitted at 21/09/2022 3:00 PM from Mrs Briony Eastman.

Application Summary

Address:	Stamford Bridge Stadium Fulham Road London SW6 1HS
----------	--

Proposal:	Licensing Act - Premises Licence
-----------	----------------------------------

Case Officer:	Karen Layug
---------------	-------------

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	Mrs Briony Eastman
-------	--------------------

Email:	
--------	--

Address:	Britannia Road Fulham, London
----------	-------------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
-----------------	-----------

Stance:	Customer objects to the Licensing Application
---------	---

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	<p>21/09/2022 3:00 PM It is not clear if this is an application for recorded and live music outside the west stand towards Britannia Gate or inside.</p> <p>I object if it is outside as the music will be heard by residents living in the neighbourhood and Britannia Road nearby.</p> <p>The sound of recorded and live music will be unacceptable to the people living in the quiet residential homes near by.</p> <p>I also object to the sale of alcohol up to 1.00 as groups of inebriated people late at night often cause unacceptable disturbances. If passed it will also set a precedent for other drinking venues to follow in their requests, when residents have worked hard in the past to prevent late night drinking in the area.</p>
-----------	---

Kind regards

Comments were submitted at 10/10/2022 7:22 PM from Mrs Briony Eastman.

Application Summary

Address:	Stamford Bridge Stadium Fulham Road London SW6 1HS
Proposal:	Licensing Act - Premises Licence
Case Officer:	Karen Layug

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	Mrs Briony Eastman
Email:	
Address:	Britannia Road London

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Licensing Application

Reasons for
comment:

Comments: 10/10/2022 7:22 PM I have already made an objection 21/09/2022 and wish to add a comment-

The applicant is applying for a licence for music and alcohol on the concourse outside the west stand near the Britannia Gate. There is little clarity but I believe this is happening in a marquee which has not yet been constructed or had planning permission for it to go ahead.

The position of this marquee on the concourse where crowds of supporters walk to the stadium could cause a massive pile up of people trying to get around the marquee, creating a grave public safety issue.

I note the tourists love to photograph the west stand, a marquee will ruin this favourite view.

The great hall is large and so is the now closed health club, both provide indoor function space.

I do not feel the absence of a marquee is a major obstacle for the indoor parts of the stadium to function as a venue for events. Keeping the music and alcohol consumption indoors will be permissible and a relief for local residents.

Kind regards

From: Briony Eastman
Sent: 22 January 2023 19:31
To: Licensing HF:
Subject: 2022/01464/LAPR further evidence

Dear Licensing

Ref: 2022/01464/LAPR further evidence

I submit as further evidence to my online comments of 21 September made against 2022/01464/LAPR, the further comments I sent to Licensing on 10 October, as below. Please add these comments to support my initial online Representation so that the Committee is aware of these further specific concerns that must be considered under the four licensing objectives.

From: Briony Eastman
Date: 10 October 2022 at 5:29:29 pm BST
To: [enfcomplaints](#)
Cc: "Licensing HF:
Subject: Urgent attention.

Please can you look into this licensing request
-2022/01464/LAPR.

Premises name-Concourse outside West Stand towards Britannia Gate.

Premises address-Stamford Bridge Stadium, Fulham Road London SW6 1HS.

I am confused as to why a license for alcohol consumption, recorded music and live music for an area when the Marquee the applicant preposes to have this license for is not yet in existence. I understand that this marquee will be in place for functions and matches for longer than 28 days and will therefor require require planning permission.

The plan I have been sent for the position of the marquee shows a row of seven trees along side the concourse which were never planted when the present stadium was built, for reasons that crowds of supporters entering or leaving by the Britannia Gate may pile up trying to get around the trunks of the trees, contributing to accidents.

I do not feel that having the bulk of the marquee in this position on the concourse outside the west stand is safe when large crowds of supporters walk to enter or leave the stadium, before and after a match.

Concerning the four licencing objectives this is a public safety issue.

I would be grateful if you could follow this up, as I do not think the licensing request is appropriate in the circumstances and far bigger issues appear relevant and seem to have been ignored.

Please let me know if you wish to discuss this further.

Yours Sincerely,

Briony Eastman.
Britannia Road

From: Kate Reardon
Sent: 12 October 2022 10:53
To: Licensing HF
Subject: URGENT Comments for Licensing Application 2022/01464/LAPR

Dear Ms Layug,
I am writing in regard to:

Premises Licence Number: 2022/01464/LAPR
Premises name: Concourse Outside Of The West Stand Toward Britannia Gate)
Premises address: Stamford Bridge Stadium Fulham Road London SW6 1HS

This is a residential family neighborhood which already suffers a great deal due to the proximity of Chelsea Football Ground.

To approve this application would be to knowingly put the residents under a wholly unacceptable intensity of risk.

As the resident of Britannia Road, SW6 2JP, along with my 7 year old twins, I would like to object to this entire application in the very strongest terms on the following grounds:

Prevention of Disorder

It is not appropriate that a venue licensed as a sporting venue should be allowed to become a 7 day a week nightclub in all but name. Emotions run high when sport is involved and to perpetuate this atmosphere late into the night with the additional lure of alcohol and music would be to actively and knowingly incite disorder.

Public Safety

My seven year old twins and I already feel overwhelmingly intimidated by the football fans and crowds.

We are already entirely housebound on match days.

This application would exacerbate the situation beyond any tolerable measure and create an unacceptably febrile and dangerous environment.

Prevention of Public Nuisance

On match days there is already very considerable public nuisance which the police are entirely unable to contain. This happens very particularly in the evening. Since birth my children have had their sleep relentlessly and systematically disturbed by the sound of aggressive men gathering outside our house and shouting late into the night. This application would amplify the already unacceptable public nuisance to a criminal degree.

The Protection of Children from Harm

Given that the police and authorities are already unable to protect my children's wellbeing from those who are attracted to Chelsea Football Ground, there is no reason to believe that approving this application will do anything other than endanger them individually and specifically as well as all other children living in the area.

This application is neither appropriate nor acceptable and I will take every measure open to me to oppose it in the strongest possible terms.

Please could you respond by return to acknowledge receipt and notify me of the hearing procedure and hearing date?

Yours sincerely,
Kate

From: Kate Reardon
Sent: 24 January 2023 14:52
To: Licensing HF:
Cc: Cllr Afonso Jose:
Subject: Ref: 2022/01464/LAPR further evidence
Importance: High

Dear Licensing team,

Ref: 2022/01464/LAPR further evidence

I submit as further evidence, to support my Representation of the 8th December 2022, made against 2022/01464/LAPR, the comments of my neighbour, Konstantin Oetker, of Billing Place SW10 9UN, who is very concerned about the inability of the Premises Licence Holder to promote the licensing objectives, should the licence be granted. Please add these comments to support my initial Representation so that the Committee is aware of these further specific concerns that must be considered by the Sub-Committee at the 8th Feb hearing.
Please acknowledge receipt of this email.

With thanks,
Kate Reardon

Dear Sir or Madam,

I am writing in reference the requested licence of 2022/01464/LAPR for the Marquee outside Britannia Gate on the Chelsea grounds. The area has a lot of petty crime and this license's repercussions would only make matter's much worse. My house was meant to exchange this week but the sale failed because Buyers got spooked by the potential permit. It would be hugely detrimental to the area, both in terms of safety, my wife with two small children (the area has a huge amount of schools and kindergartens and young kids in the area) would not feel safe and the noise and light and nuisance from the area would be overwhelming and the daily lives of all neighbours would suffer hugely and house values would plummet. Please do not let it go ahead. The Prevention of Crime and Disorder: Crime and Disorder is already an issue in the neighbourhood. We constantly have people breaking into the Billing's, stealing bicycles, car's, other things. Granting this permit would only increase the likelihood of crime in the neighbourhood. There is too little Policing as it is and alcohol and events will only make matters much much worse. We will certainly not feel safe with as many people as can be hosted in a Marquee like this, up to all day every day, with and alcohol late into the night. The Prevention of Public Nuisance: Having more events at the stadium will certainly lead to other problems like increased litter, public urination in the local area and the events will be noisy and disturb the peace - that's the whole point of an Marquee like the one's they're wishing to open, even if it is temporary in nature. Neither our children nor we would be able to sleep with constant noise and loud people around. The Protection of Children from Harm is another topic I'd like to bring to attention. Is it really a good idea to have a stadium which is already sat right in the middle of such a residential area with as many school's in very close proximity to it extended to be able to allow alcohol at all hours of the day and late into the night? I think definitely not. Please do not grant this permit! Thank you.

Konstantin Oetker
Billing Place

From: Kate Reardon
Sent: 24 January 2023 14:53
To: Licensing HF:

Cc: Cllr Afonso Jose:

Subject: Ref: 2022/01464/LAPR further evidence

Importance: High

Dear Licensing team,

Ref: 2022/01464/LAPR further evidence

I submit as further evidence, to support my Representation of the 8th December 2022, made against 2022/01464/LAPR, the comments of my neighbour, Zehra Imam-Sadeque, of Moore Park Road, SW6 2JT who is very concerned about the inability of the Premises Licence Holder to promote the licensing objectives, should the licence be granted. Please add these comments to support my initial Representation so that the Committee is aware of these further specific concerns that must be considered by the Sub-Committee at the 8th Feb hearing.

With thanks,

Kate Reardon

From: Zehra Imam-Sadeque

Subject: Updated comments to submit for 2022/01464/LAPR

Date: January 22, 2023 at 6:56:17 PM GMT

To: Kate Reardon

Dear Kate,

I made a representation for 2022/01904/LAPR but I didn't know there was an earlier license application. I know you made a representation for 2022/01464/LAPR so I am sending you my objections to add to yours and submit as further to support your Representation against 2022/01464/LAPR.

My family of four and I are concerned as a resident of Moore Park Road, about 2022/01464/LAPR by Chelsea Football Club. If events are held where alcohol is sold from 10:00 to 01:00 and the proposed Premises (the Marquee) closes at 01:30 any day of the week, then this will have a huge negative impact on our lives. There will be more crowds and more drinking and this will mean more noise, more litter, and more crime. It is well known in London that drug dealing develops as soon as new Premises takes hold in a neighbourhood such as ours.

We accept a certain number of crowds around 30 times a year because we live close to a big football club but this license application 01464 is for 365 days a year for a Marquee that could hold a large number of people till 23:00, closing at midnight.

I am concerned that an application of this nature does not mention any fire capacity figures nor any plans for crowd dispersal. It seems that little is required when applying for a license for alcohol, however, CFC should make it very clear to residents and to the LBHF Licensing sub-committee what they are planning, especially on non-match days.

I am also worried that this proposed 1,400 sq meter Marquee could also be used as a concert platform and focal point for the outdoor events foreseen in a separate application, 2022/01904/LAPR.

My family and I strongly object to 2022/01464/LAPR because it stipulates so little and mentions no efforts to protect the residential neighbourhood around CFC from crime, disorder, noise/nuisance—all licensing objectives that are supposed to be actively promoted by a Proposed Premises. Nor does the Application prioritise any aspect of public safety inside or in the outdoor areas surrounding the proposed Marquee.

The Applicant has submitted no event management plan and no crowd control plan with a number of security guards. There is no police capacity to manage the crowds that will be milling around listening to live music or recorded music. Moore Park Road is a residential area with lots of families living here. The concourses were and are meant for quick, safe passage of fans to and from the stadium/pitch/ground, guided by CFC stewards inside the CFC property. They should be kept for the purpose they were intended and therefore the licensing sub-committee should reject this application.

Kind regards,
Zehra Imam-Sadeque
Moore Park Road

From: Kate Reardon
Sent: 26 January 2023 12:14
To: Licensing HF: H&F
Cc: Cllr Afonso Jose:
Subject: Ref: 2022/01464/LAPR further evidence

Dear Licensing team,

Ref: 2022/01464/LAPR further evidence

I submit as further evidence, to support my Representation of the 8th December 2022, made against 2022/01464/LAPR, the comments of my neighbour, Lance Poynter of Billing Place SW10 9UN, who is very concerned about the inability of the Premises Licence Holder to promote the licensing objectives, should the licence be granted. Please add these comments to support my initial Representation so that the Committee is aware of these further specific concerns that must be considered by the Sub-Committee at the 8th Feb hearing.
Please acknowledge receipt of this email.

With thanks,
Kate Reardon

2022/01464/LAPR – Marquee, Britannia Gate

We live in the Billings Conservation Area and are separated from the stadium by a further Conservation Area. My Primary concerns are additional noise, pressure on The Chelsea and Westminster Hospital A&E Department and on the Police. These concerns are dealt with in more detail below.

I should like, if I may, to express my concern that residents affected by this application were not notified by letter. We discovered the application only days ago leaving little time to look at the proposal.

I should also like to express my concern that there is so little detail in the proposal.

Disorder and crime.

The police already employ their resources on CFC match days and the number of these is increasing. The use of the stadium for serving alcohol, possibly to a large number of people, and the problems that drinking to excess might cause, can only stretch police resources further.

Further pressure may also be added to the already hard-pressed A&E Department of The Chelsea and Westminster Hospital where queues are now long.

Public nuisance

Substantial gatherings of people with music and alcohol may give rise to public nuisance, especially in the form of noise. Furthermore, residents will also have to contend with the physical disturbance of people leaving the stadium until long past the licence cut-off time of 1.30 am. And the possibility of large numbers of alcohol-fuelled people could bring other nuisance problems. On football days we already experience litter and fans urinating in the street.

Police are already so stretched that they are unable to pursue burglars even when CCTV footage exists. How will they deal with this additional burden?

The issue of noise is dealt with in the “Proposed Conditions” 13 and 14. However, the concept of “unreasonable disturbance” is hugely subjective and will vary greatly between people. Furthermore, the more often residents are subjected to additional noise from the stadium the lower will be the noise level that they might find tolerable.

Residents of The Billings Conservation Area are particularly fortunate to live in a relatively (for London) tranquil place. Indeed its tranquillity was remarked upon in the RBKC Conservation Area Appraisal of 2016: “the quietness afforded by the lack of traffic and the absence of non-residential uses impart a peaceful tranquillity and a spirit of intimacy to the place and makes it unusually special”. Clearly, this proposal threatens these very qualities.

It should also be noted that The Billings & Brompton Cutting Conservation Area, which separates The Billings Conservation Area from the stadium is noted in the LBHF Character Profile as being an “area of nature & ecological significance”. Regular light and noise would be inimical to this.

The Billings also abuts the Brompton Cemetery Conservation Area. Any additional noise and light would be inappropriate in the vicinity of the cemetery, as would a huge increase in people walking through the cemetery, further destroying its peace and quiet.

If full use were to be made of such a licence, it seems unlikely that this proposal would comply with the Licensing Objectives specified by the LBHF Council.

It may also be difficult to square their proposal with Article One of the First Protocol of the European Convention on Human rights, that: “every person is entitled to peaceful enjoyment of his or her possessions”. Clearly, it is unlikely that affected residents would be able to enjoy their houses or gardens in tranquillity if this licence were to be granted.

The importance given to the interests of the stadium owners by the authorities seems to have been slowly growing during the forty years my family have lived here. At the same time the importance given to the interests of nearby residents seems to have been steadily shrinking. This is unreasonable and should not be allowed to continue. The Licensing Authority of the LBHF will, I hope, ensure that the rights of the residential community are protected when considering this application.

From : Lance Poynter, Billing Place, London SW10 9UN

From: Kate Reardon

Sent: 30 January 2023 13:14

To: Licensing HF:

Subject: Ref: 2022/01464/LAPR further evidence

Dear Licensing team,

Ref: 2022/01464/LAPR further evidence

I submit as further evidence, to support my Representation of the 8th December 2022, made against 2022/01464/LAPR, the comments of my neighbours, Maria Malas and Ramzi Mroueh of Hilary Close, London SW6 1EA, who are very concerned about the inability of the Premises Licence Holder to promote the licensing objectives, should the licence be granted. Please add these comments to support my initial Representation so that the Committee is aware of these further specific concerns that must be considered by the Sub-Committee at the 8th Feb hearing. Please acknowledge receipt of this email.

With thanks,
Kate Reardon

Dear Kate,

I live in Hilary Close. I only recently became aware of Licensing application 2022/01464/LAPR, which proposes a Marquee to be erected outside the West Stand towards Britannia Gate. Because Hilary Close shares a wall with the southern perimeter of Stamford Bridge, this application could have a significant impact on my household. I am writing to add my concerns and to support the Representation you made against this application.

The application itself is vague and does not specify what the Marquee is intended for, nor any conditions attached to its use (hours of operation etc...). Given our proximity to the Stadium, this could have a detrimental impact on our life, especially as my three young children often play outside in the front and back gardens. The Marquee could bring with it an increase in footfall, along with more congestion, noise, and the need to be vigilant. If the Marquee is to be used for events, it could also potentially become a noise and nuisance issue, especially for those of us in Hilary Close (including myself) that work from home. I understand from having dealt with previous applications that we live in a conservation area and any application, whether planning or licensing, should be considered with the area's appearance and character in mind.

Please could you share this letter with the Licensing Committee as further evidence to support your Representation.

Thank you,
Maria Malas & Ramzi Mroueh
Hilary Close
Fulham Road
SW6 1EA

From: Kate Reardon

Sent: 30 January 2023 13:19

To: Cllr Afonso Jose
Cc: Licensing HF:
Subject: Ref: 2022/01464/LAPR further evidence

Dear Licensing team,

Ref: 2022/01464/LAPR further evidence

I submit as further evidence, to support my Representation of the 8th December 2022, made against 2022/01464/LAPR, the comments of my neighbours, Sam and Camila Johnson of Hilary Close, London, SW6 1EA, who are very concerned about the inability of the Premises Licence Holder to promote the licensing objectives, should the licence be granted. Please add these comments to support my initial Representation so that the Committee is aware of these further specific concerns that must be considered by the Sub-Committee at the 8th Feb hearing. Please acknowledge receipt of this email.

With thanks,
Kate Reardon

Dear Kate,

As so many of our community, and specifically the 7 houses in Hilary Close, I have only recently become aware of the Licensing application 2022/01464/LAPR, which proposes what appears to be a semi permanent structure to be erected outside the West Stand towards Britannia Gate.

As you know, Hilary Close shares a wall with the southern perimeter of Stamford Bridge, and as such it is my strong belief that this application will have a significant impact on all of the residents of Hilary Close, but perhaps even more so with us at Hilary Close, which abuts the Shed End Wall. We have, as a family, lived at Hilary Close since 2004 and have always enjoyed a convivial relationship with CFC, indeed my wife, Camila, served on the community liaison committee that existed under the tenure of the previous owner, which served as a very useful forum to discuss and manage matters such as this. Since the new owners have taken over, it appears that no such forum is now in existence, and that community engagement in general appears to be very low down on the priority list of the new owners. As such I am writing to add my grave concerns and to support the Representation that you have made against this application.

Per the above, it is very self evident that the application is by intent, extremely vague, and poignantly, does not specify what the Marquee (semi-permanent structure) is intended for, nor does it make clear any conditions / or rules as to its use (hours of operation etc...). Given our proximity to the Stadium, this is likely to have a serious detrimental impact on our family life, especially as my children are often in our garden or at play in the communal areas.

In addition, and perhaps of even more concern, this structure will likely increase footfall, which is already way too high, let alone during football matches. The application if granted, will only exacerbate the problem, and further add to the already intolerable levels of congestion, not to mention the often 'unseen' criminal element that usually follows. Indeed the only thing that is clear in the application, is that "the Marquee" will be used for events, as such and by default in addition to the above, the noise level and associated nuisance, will once again cause intrusions for all aspects of family life for anyone living in close proximity to the stadium, but in particular those at Hilary Close.

The inconvenience of road closures notwithstanding, the ability to work from home, and the general effect to family life will become even more of a challenge and without any community engagement

around this application, we remain extremely suspicious as to the real intent behind the long term plan for this application.

Over and above the objections already mentioned, I am also extremely concerned as to why this application is being even considered, as it is my understanding, that the area immediately surrounding Hilary Close is a 'Conservation Area' and as such any application, whether planning or licensing, should consider the area's appearance and character when submitting any application. I fail to see how an unsightly semi permanent structure fits into improving either the appearance or character of the surrounds of CFC.

Please could you share this letter with the Licensing Committee as further evidence to support your Representation.

Thank you so much in advance.

Very best,

Patric (Sam) & Camilla Johnson
Hilary Close
Fulham Road
SW6 1EA

Sam Johnson

From: Richard Rawlinson
Sent: 12 October 2022 15:05
To: Licensing HF:
Subject: License application objection

Licensing Act 2003

Premises Licence Number: 2022/01464/LAPR

Premises name: Concourse Outside Of The West Stand Toward Britannia Gate)

Premises address: Stamford Bridge Stadium Fulham Road London SW6 1HS

Dear Ms Layug,

I am writing to express concern and suggest conditions be attached to the grant of extended licensing for music and alcohol in a marquee outside Chelsea Football Ground.

I am resident at Britannia Studios, Britannia Road SW6 2HJ. Our street runs directly to the Chelsea Football Ground. On match days, we are affected by the closure of Fulham Road, traffic, noise from the ground and, sometimes, disorder.

Prevention of Disorder

It will be important that any license variation prevents the marquee becoming a nightclub, therefore we ask that the sale of alcohol is restricted to end at 10pm or even earlier

Public Safety

Encouraging the large crowds that come for matches to stay to drink may promote disorder and danger - can the sale of alcohol be ended after say an hour after a match?

Prevention of Public Nuisance

The marquee structure will not contain well the noise of music and revelry. There are sufficient building premises in the area in the ground for entertainment and alcohol. Can playing of music outside be prohibited after say 10pm? Can there be a restriction on how loud it can be?

It seems to me that Chelsea should keep their entertainment primary within their buildings and not allow it to spill out so that it affects other residents and it may do if in a marquee.

Thank you.

Richard Rawlinson

From: Silvie
Sent: 12 October 2022 15:15
To: Licensing HF:
Cc: Greg Hands
Subject: URGENT Comments for Licensing Application 2022/01464/LAPR

Dear Ms Layug,

I am writing in regard to:

Licensing Act 2003

Premises Licence Number: 2022/01464/LAPR

Premises name: Concourse Outside Of The West Stand Toward Britannia Gate)

Premises address: Stamford Bridge Stadium Fulham Road London SW6 1HS

Could you please consider my below objection. The above proposed licensing is ill advised for a residential family area, with number of school in near vicinity. We already suffer due the proximity to the Chelsea Football Ground, where a wholly inappropriate behaviour of drunk fans is being observed by our children, serious problems with parking where traffic wardens deem unsafe to give parking tickets and rubbish left behind by drunk crowds amongst other problems link to the abovementioned Stadium. I have three children (9,11 and 13 years old), and I believe that the above proposal is inappropriate for the area and I deem it quite dangerous for my children. They would not be able to walk home alone safely.

My husband Jeremy Lyon-Lee and I, together with our children - the residents of Britannia Road, SW6 2JP, would like to object to this entire application in the very strongest terms on the following grounds:

Prevention of Disorder

It is not appropriate that a venue licensed as a sporting venue should be allowed to become a 7 day a week nightclub in all but name. Emotions run high when sport is involved and to perpetuate this atmosphere late into the night with the additional lure of alcohol and music would be to actively and knowingly incite disorder.

Public Safety

Children and I already feel overwhelmingly intimidated by the football fans and crowds when coming back from their respective clubs on match days. This is based on experience.

This application would escalate the situation beyond any tolerable measure and we would be subjected to the unsafe environment on daily basis. As I mentioned above, I could never let my children to walk home knowing that there are drunk and rowdy football fans right by our door.

Prevention of Public Nuisance

On match days there is already very considerable public nuisance which the police are entirely unable to contain. This happens very particularly in the evening. We agreed with our neighbours that our children have had their sleep relentlessly and systematically disturbed by the sound of aggressive men gathering outside our houses and shouting late into the night. This application would amplify the already unacceptable public nuisance to a criminal degree.

The Protection of Children from Harm

Given that the police and authorities are already unable to protect my children's wellbeing from those who are attracted to Chelsea Football Ground, there is no reason to believe that approving this application will do anything other than endanger them individually and specifically as well as all other children living in the area.

This application is neither appropriate nor acceptable and I will take every measure open to me to oppose it in the strongest possible terms.

Please could you respond by return to acknowledge receipt and notify me of the hearing procedure and hearing date?

Yours sincerely,

Silvie Lyon-Lee

Dear Sir/Madam,

Licensing Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 12/10/2022 4:02 PM from Mr Tyler Lovas.

Application Summary

Address:	Stamford Bridge Stadium Fulham Road London SW6 1HS
Proposal:	Licensing Act - Premises Licence
Case Officer:	Karen Layug

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	Mr Tyler Lovas
Email:	
Address:	Britannia Road London

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Licensing Application

Reasons for
comment:

Comments: 12/10/2022 4:02 PM To whom it may concern:

I wholeheartedly and fervently object to the the application to put a concourse outside of the West Stand for the Chelsea Football Club. To approve this would be an outrage.

This is a family neighbourhood - the families, kids, pets who live here we deserve to feel safe, and not be subject to the noise, disorder, vandalism that often occurs during and after Chelsea matches.

We, the residents of the neighbourhood, pay taxes here, we respect the neighbourhood, we invest into the neighbourhood - from the time that I've lived here, Chelsea Football Club fans only cause disruption, leave filth on the street, and make us homebound until the crowds dissipate. Why does yet another late night, 7 day a week establishment need to be put up on our block? Aren't there enough places for people to go to drink and celebrate with their fellow fans? I am a Chelsea fan! But I am not a fan of my fellow fans...

Why doesn't the football club pay more to the council to have people pick up the mess that their fans make after a match? Our dog has had to go to the vet several times because of the broken glass, food bones, and other rubbish left behind!

Approving this application would cause more disorder, endanger the public safety for residents, and be a public nuisance.

This application is neither appropriate nor acceptable, and it should not be approved.

Tyler Lovas

From: Tyler Lovas

Sent: 12 October 2022 15:43

To: Licensing HF

Subject: URGENT Comments for Licensing Application 2022/01464/LAPR

Dear Ms Layug,

I am writing in regard to:

Licensing Act 2003

Premises Licence Number: 2022/01464/LAPR

Premises name: Concourse Outside Of The West Stand Toward Britannia Gate)

Premises address: Stamford Bridge Stadium Fulham Road London SW6 1HS

This is a residential family neighborhood which already suffers a great deal due to the proximity of Chelsea Football Ground.

To approve this application would be to knowingly put the residents under a wholly unacceptable intensity of risk.

As the resident of Britannia Road, SW6 2JP, I would like to object to this entire application in the very strongest terms on the following grounds:

Prevention of Disorder

It is not appropriate that a venue licensed as a sporting venue should be allowed to become a 7 day a week nightclub in all but name. Emotions run high when sport is involved and to perpetuate this atmosphere late into the night with the additional lure of alcohol and music would be to actively and knowingly incite disorder.

Public Safety

My wife and I, along with our dog, already feel overwhelmingly intimidated by the football fans and crowds

We are already entirely housebound on match days, and the amount of trash that accumulates post match is unacceptable. Often attendees of matches leave broken glass, food bones, and other harmful items that endanger our dog unnecessarily - we have had to take our dog to the vet on several occasions because of this.

This application would exacerbate the situation beyond any tolerable measure and create an unacceptably dangerous environment.

Prevention of Public Nuisance

On match days there is already very considerable public nuisance which the police are entirely unable to contain. This happens very particularly in the evening. Since moving to this residence, our sleep and sanity has been relentlessly and systematically disturbed by the sound of aggressive men gathering outside our house and shouting late into the night. This application would amplify the already unacceptable public nuisance to a criminal degree.

The Protection of Children from Harm

Given that the police and authorities are already unable to protect other children who live on the block's wellbeing from those who are attracted to Chelsea Football Ground, there is no reason to believe that approving this application will do anything other than endanger all the children living in the area.

This application is neither appropriate nor acceptable and I will take every measure open to me to oppose it in the strongest possible terms.

Please could you respond by return to acknowledge receipt and notify me of the hearing procedure and hearing date?

Regards,

Tyler Lovas